September 19, 1957

THE KALL TOUT

CHAIRMAN, EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Defense Monder

SUBJECT: Proposed Revitalisation of the Economic Defence Program

Univers of the Problem

The recent review of Rochonic Defense Policy by the MSC now provides now quidance to the economic defense community. The Work Program attacked to the paper considered by the Council on Foreign Economic Policy (Council of Paper considered by the Council on Foreign Economic Policy (Council of Paper considered by the Council on Foreign Economic Policy (Council derivation of the policy sets forth the basis upon which the program constitute the "revitalized." It is now required that the economic defense community implement effective controls.

It revitalised on the basis of the existing multilateral concepts and procedures. CCCO: and CHIVCOM have established precedents which preclude any country from proposing, and having accepted, ideas which will revise any controls in the direction of greater effectiveness, regardless of how partitorious the case. It seems essential, therefore, to attack the problem at its root, namely, the basic concepts underlying the controls, and the procedures for their implementation. It seems quite useless to attack, as has the CIA in its recent study, to belster up the control attacture by reviewing items in minuscule, using the highly restrictive and distorted interpretations of existing criteria which now provail in

controls are endorsed because their successful implementation contributes to free world security. The policy calls for continuation of selective controls designed to restrict, through limitations on strategic shipments, controls designed to restrict, through limitations on strategic shipments, controls designed to restrict, through limitations on strategic shipments, controls designed in the Sino-Soviet bloc. In addition, unifical growth of war potential in the Sino-Soviet bloc. In addition, unifical controls having political and moral purposes have been re-endorsed for Communist China.

State Dept. declassification & release instructions on file

The problem faced by EDAC is to device a system of effective and supportable controls having the full backing of all the responsible agencies of the U.S. Government, which maximises the impact of trains controls on the Sino-Seviet bloc's var potential. The problem bracks down into two basic parts. First, there must be an agreed U.S. Government position believed and supported by representatives of all responsible agencies, whether or not they believe the objectives of the program are contribution and attainable. Second, there must be a fully supported and effectively implemented negotiation with the participating countries, both multilaterally and bilaterally.

U.S. leadership and initiative must be reasserted and effectively sustained if the opposition to controls of any kind, which has been repeatedly amounced by certain leading participating governments, is to be overcome. It is believed that a consistent and effective position of the U.S. Government, consistently maintained and supported by officials of every level, will improve rather than deteriorate our relationships with other participating governments. This is not to say that the U.S. must be totally inflexible in its approach to the trade control problem. On the contrary, once a solid basis for a well-rounded and effective program has been established, greater flexibility than at present may be possible to accommodate minor exceptions. The current situation is such that the U.S. cannot saintain an attitude of flexibility without in effort agreeing to elimination of large segments of the remaining program. Little room for flexibility remains. The villingness of the U.S. to participate in the slow erosion of the controls is taken to meen that we agree to the elimination of controls, but must maintain a "facade" for domestic political · BEGBBBT

Alternatives

It is believed there are only two alternatives for the U.3. to pursue at this time. The first, and what may appear to be the easiest in the short run, would be to continue the status que; to attempt through a series of last-ditch, desperate actions on individual item negotiations to slow down the progress of descutrol which has taken a steady course since 1954. This decision is tentement to destroying the multilateral progress over a 12 to 24 month period.

The second alternative is to attempt a basic change in direction through a thorough review of the concepts and principles underlying the centrols, and negotiating energetically for a substantial revision which strengthens the centrols very materially. The first alternative will show a clear lack of U.S. leadership. The second will demonstrate that the U.S. can exert leadership and is willing to do so when an effective security objective is to be achieved.

west of iction

- this is accepted, the following steps should be taken:
- stablish substantially revised concents and criteria for the operation. This revision must of course remain within the basic instruction of ilrecting the multilateral controls toward the Sino-Soviet bloc var potential. It is believed that an objective review of the existing economic and military situation in the Sino-Soviet bloc will show extensive weaknesses and vulnerabilities which are susceptible to explaitation through effectively applied trade controls. Stultifying standards, such as the requirement to demonstrate conclusively the impact of each specific setion, should be discarded as unrealistic and unduly restraining on the proponents of effective control.
- explain and discuss U.S. proposals as indicated in 1. above, and in addition, process specific and effective measures to eliminate the abuses which occording has falled into, especially the prependerance of commercial considerations which continue covered the positions of many governments.
- desition and attitude on trade controls. This posture is considered to be of very great importance, not only as a means of making negotiations effective, but also as a means of convincing participating governments of the considered sincerity and steadiness of purpose of the U.S.
- vith regard to Communist Ching and the Coviet bloc, then do other countries, the Co. should not be epologetic for this action, but should maintain its more stringent controls even in the Tace of strong pressure, if it should develop, by the domestic business community. This should serve to explasize the determination of the U.J. to retain the trade central programs properly in phase with other cold war programs.